

Indian Moot on Artificial Intelligence and Law



INDIAN
SOCIETY OF
ARTIFICIAL
INTELLIGENCE &
LAW

1ST INDIAN MOOT ON
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
AND LAW, 2021

SECOND ADDITIONAL RULES OF THE
INDIAN MOOT ON ARTIFICIAL
INTELLIGENCE & LAW, 2021

isail.in/moot



INDIAN
SOCIETY OF
ARTIFICIAL
INTELLIGENCE &
LAW

APPROVED BY ABHIVARDHAN, CONVENOR

The Second Additional Rules of the Indian Moot on Artificial Intelligence & Law, 2021

Article 1: Methodology of Conducting Memorial Rounds

Article 2: Methodology of Deciding Best Speakers

Article 3: The Points Table

Article 4: Authority for Matches Allocation

Article 1: Methodology of Conducting Memorial Rounds

In accordance with the Rules of the Indian Moot on Artificial Intelligence & Law, 2021 (hereinafter mentioned in every provision as "**the Main Rules**"), the Memorial Rounds, shall be conducted in the following way:

- The criteria of marking as defined in the Article 8 of the Main Rules shall be strictly followed by a group of reviewers appointed by the Organizing Team at their discretion. The reviewers must adhere and state their conflict of interest before they proceed with the review;
- The marks obtained shall be added with any negative marks, if earned by the participating team;
- Negative marking added is considered as follows:
 1. Delay in submitting memorials lead to cumulative penalty marking in the total score earned by the teams for both of their memorials counted from the day the Organizing Team deems it legible (in default it is day after the last day of memorial submission), i.e., **-3 per day**;
 2. Violation of the formatting style of the Memorial under the Article 5 of the Main Rules, **-0.25 per single violation**;
 3. Extending beyond the limit made for "Arguments" and "Relied Requested", **-0.5**;
 4. Grammar Mistakes, **-0.20 per every foreseeable violation**;

The following are the review matches within the Memorial Rounds:

- Preliminary: Reviewers are subject to internal review and give marks for memorials of both the categories;
- Quarter Finals: Top 8 memorials in both categories respectively qualify and are subjected to second reading through alternate reviewers;
- Semi Finals: Top 4 memorials in both categories respectively qualify and are subjected to third reading through alternate reviewers;
- The Final: Top 2 memorials in both categories respectively qualify and are subjected to third reading through alternate reviewers, based on which the Best Memorials in both the categories are decided;

Please note: point 1 as one of the criteria for negative marking ceases after any memorial is subject to review after the Preliminary Rounds. Only points 2-4 are applicable onwards;

The reviewers shall be bound by the Rules not to disclose their identity to the Student Teams and the Arbitrators for the Moot before and during the event;

Article 2: Methodology of Deciding Best Speakers

In accordance with the Rules of the Indian Moot on Artificial Intelligence & Law, 2021 (hereinafter mentioned in every provision as "**the Main Rules**"), the Best Speakers, shall be decided in the following way:

- The criteria of marking as defined in the Article 8 of the Main Rules shall be strictly followed and based on the standing average scores of all the speakers participatory in their own categories **in the Preliminary Rounds**, the Best Speakers in both the categories shall be decided. No qualifying analysis will be added;

Article 3: The Points Table

A points table for the following categories shall be available online for the participating teams to look through the status of the scores obtained in the following:

- Oral Rounds Overall
- Memorial Rounds - Claimant
- Memorial Rounds - Respondent
- Best Speaker Points Table - Claimant
- Best Speaker Points Table - Respondent

1. Any errata in the marks will have to be strictly avoided. The reviewers and Arbitrators shall have the full right to submit the marks for the rounds respectively, and no timekeeper and volunteer can override the exact markings given by the Arbitrators;
2. The reviewers and Arbitrators can complain through the Redressal Mechanism to the Convenor/Co-Convenor vide the First Additional Rules of the Indian Moot on Artificial Intelligence & Law, 2021, based on which, reasonable action would be undertaken;
3. The decision of the Organizing Team in any latent circumstance which is unforeseeable is final and binding;
4. No requests for review shall be permissible to be taken after the last day of the event;

Article 4: Authority of Matches Allocation

Only the Convenor of the Moot or the Deputy Convenor subject to approval by the Convenor/Co-Convenor has the authority to delete any qualifying rounds arrangement, or amend it under a tangible format. The decision of allocation of matches and review is binding and final and cannot be subject to change unless the Convenor approves the same.

In the case of Oral Rounds, the ex parte rule under the Article 2 of the First Additional Rules of the Indian Moot on Artificial Intelligence & Law, 2021 shall be followed. It does not however apply for Speaker(s) if the Speaker(s) are unavailable during the matches.